לעילוי נשמת ר' אברהם יוסף שמואל אלטר בו ר' טובי' ז"ל רעיתו רישא רחל בת ר' אברהם שלמה ע"ה קורץ



TO SUBSCRIBE AND RECEIVE THIS TORAH SHEET WEEKLY WWW.TORAHTAVLIN.ORC OR SEND AN EMAIL TO TORAHTAVLIN@ YAHOO.COM

שבת קודש פרשת וישב – כ"ג כסלו תשפ"ב Shabbos Parshas Vayeishev - November 27, 2021

הדלקת נרות שבת – 4:12 | זמן קריאת שמע / מ"א – 8:45 | זמן קריאת שמע / הגר"א – 9:21 | סוף זמן תפילה / הגר"א – 10:09 זמו לתפילת מנחה גדולה – 12:14 | שקיעת החמה שבת קודש – 4:30 | מוצש״ק צאת הכוכבים – 5:20 | צאה״כ/ לרבינו תם – 5:42

שבת מודש

לבו שותת דם על העוול הגדול שעשו עמו. על גודל ההשפלה שהיא מנת חלקו וכאן בעודו בעמק הבכא משגיחים עליו מן השמים שלא יצטער הצדיק מריח של נפט? דברים אלו 'זועקים' 'דרשני'!

תשובה לשאלה זו השיב הגאוז **הצדיק רבי חיים שמואלביץ זצ"ל**, וכה היו דבריו: אכז לא כיוונו מז השמים להטיב על ידי אלו הבשמים. מז השמים ידעו והבינו שמצב הבשמים לא ישנה את מצבו של יוסף בכי הוא זה, אר על אף שלבו של יוסף היה שבור באותה שעה לרסיסים. בקשו מז השמים לנחמו. ומלבד יוסף את דעתו של השיאו מן השיאו גם הישמעאלים עם השמים את הבשמים שיתבונו בפליאה זו. שהישמעאלים הרגילים לישא עמהם 'נפט' נושאים הפעם 'בשמים'? והוא בעצמו יסיק בדעתו שמאת ה' היתה זאת. כדי שלא יריח ריח של 'נפט'. ואף שלאדם במצבי אינו משנה איזה ריח הוא מריח, בקשו מן השמים לגלות בזאת שגם בעמק ההסתר חופפת ההשגחה העליונה עלי. ועל אף שנגזרה עלי הגזרה לעבור כל זאת. משגיחים עלי מז השמים שלא להיאבד כליל. וברבות הימים אחזור למקור מחצבתי.

בנוסף בקשו לנחמו בזאת. ולהראות לפניו. שעל אף שבעיניו נדמה כל הנעשה כדברים מרים מאוד. והינם בבחינת 'נפט'. אבל דע כי לאמיתו של דבר מסתתר מאחור בחינת 'בשמים'. וכפי שאכז רואים לבסוף. שאחר שעברו על ראשו הימים הקשים נתגלגלו הדברים ונעשה למלך מצרים משך שמונים שנה.

אלו הדברים צריכים להיות מקור חיזוק לכל אלו העוברים הסתרים שונים ומשונים. גם להם נדמה לפעמים. שהשי״ת עזבם לנפשם. ונדמה להם שמעתה רק רע יהיה חלקם. ומכאז יחזו ויאמינו שאיז רעה יוצא מתחת ידו הרחומה של הקב״ה. והכל הוא בהשגחה העליונה כדי להטיב לו לאדם באחריתו.

הרי מפורש שאין שום כח לבעל בחירה, לפעול בלי גזירת ה'. וחלילה לסתור ולאבד עיקרי האמונה מדברי האוה"ח ולומר שיש כח ביד בעל בחירה לפעול בלי גזירת ה'.

וכתב **הגר"ח קניבסקי (דרר שיחה)** על דברי האוה"ח: "לא יתכן שיהרג אדם אם לא שנגזר עליו מו השמים. אלא שכנגד בעל בחירה צריך יותר זכויות להנצל". עכת"ד. ותוכז דבריו מיסוד מדברי הזוהר שהוא מקור לדברי האוה"ח. ומבואר שם להדיא שהחילוק ביז בעל בחירה לבהמות. היינו דלגבי בעל בחירה צריר יותר זכויות להנצל ממנו. אולם עדייו צ"ב. מדוע צריר יותר זכויות להנצל מבעל בחירה. הרי אין שום כח לבעל בחירה כלל, ומאי שנא מלהנצל מז הבהמות.

וביאר **הספר ארך אפים**, דברי הזוהר, דלעולם אין שום כח כלל לבעל בחירה. רק החילוק הוא רק לגבי האדם שנמצא במצב סכנה מבעל בחירה. שאם יתלה כל תקותו ובטחונו להצלה ביד הבעל בחירה. השי"ת גוזר עליו שישלוט בו רעה מאותו בעל בחירה. שהנהגת השי"ת תלוי כפי דרגתו של אמונה של כל אחד ואחד. ואם האדם שנמצא במצב סכנה מבעל בחירה יתלה תקותו ובטחונו אר ורק ביד השי״ת. לא ישלוט בו שום רעה. וזהו הסגולה של "איז עוד מלבדו". וא"כ מי שנמצא בסכנה מחיות. הרי הוא תולה כל בטחונו רק ביד השי"ת. וא"כ זהו כוונת ראובן שלא רצה שיוסף יתלה בטחונו ביד אחיו. שאם כז יהיה זה סיבה שהשי"ת יגזור עליו שיפעול עליו איזה רעה. אבל אם ישלכו לחיות. יוסף יתלו כל בטחונו בה' ויזכה להנצל.

שליט"א, ר"י שער השמים ירושלים עיה"ק

לרש״י: וגמליהם נושאים וגו׳ - למה פרסם הכתוב את משאם. להודיע מתז שכרז של צדיקים. שאיז דרכז של ערביים לשאת אלא נפט ועטרו שריחז רע. ולזה נזדמנו

הבה ונתבונן במצבו ובהרגשתו של יוסף בזו השעה שמכרוהו. יעקב פונה האב לבנו אהוב, ומבקשו: אחיך רועים בשכם, וחפץ אני לקבל מהם דרישת שלום, על כן לר נא אה את שלום אחיר והשיבני דבר. ויוסף שומע ומסכים. הולר הוא לשכם. ומשם על פי וראת המלאר לדותנא. ושם אכז פוגש בהם. איז בכוונתו ח"ו לריב עמהם ריבו. כי אם ראותם ולדרוש בשלומם. ולהשיב בכך קורת רוח לאביו. אמנם כשקרב אל אחיו. עמד זפוס ביז ידיהם. ופתאום מפשיטים אותו את 'כתונת הפסים' ומשליכים אותו בבור מלא נחשים ועקרבים! בעודו שם בעמק הבור התחיל יוסף לבכות ולהתחנו לפני אחיו ותור דמעות שליש. לפתע נפתח המכסה שמעל הבור ומורידים חבל להמשיכו בחזרה. ואה הוא איך שהאחים הקדושים עומדים ונושאים משא ומתן עם סוחרים ישמעאלים ל זעקותיו אינם מועילים לו כלום. ובעודו מושפל עד עמקי נפשו על כל אשר נעשה: עמו. מוכרים אותו לישמעאלים ומשיאים אותו מצריימה למוכרו שוב ולהרוויח על אשו מעות לרוב. כאילו היה איזה חפצא בעלמא.

וכאו נשאלת השאלה: וכי יש לך מצב גרוע יותר ממצבו של יוסף בזו השעה? האם עיניו של יוסף נשואות היו באותה שעה אל הנוחיות? האם היה משנה לו אם ריח של נפט עומד על פתחי נחיריו או אם ריח של בשמים. כמו שפירשו לנו חכמינו ז"ל? הרי

וישמע ראובן ויצלהו מידם ויאמר לא נכנו נפש ... (לו-כא) - ביאור דברי האור החיים בענין בעל בחירה

קשו המפרשים דאיזה הצלה יש כאז, שראובן נתכווז להציל את יוסף, א״כ Π השליכו לבור שמלא נחשים ועקרבים בודאי ימות? ופי' **האור החיים הק'** וז"ל, "לפי זהאדם בעל בחירה ורצוז. ויכול להרוג מי שלא נתחייב מיתה. משא״כ חיות רעות לא יפגעו באדם אם לא יתחייב מיתה לשמים. והוא אומרו 'ויצילהו מידם'. פי' מיד ובחירי. ובזה סתר אומרו ונראה מה יהיו חלומותיו וגו' כי הבחירה תבטל הדבר. ואיז 'איה אם יהרגוהו כי שקר דבר". עכ"ל. שכל זמז שראובז תלוי בבחירתו הרי יכול בעל בחירה להמית גם מי שאינו מחויב מיתה. וע"כ ראובז השליכו לבהמות. שאיז הם בחירה ואינם יכולים להמית מי שאינו מחויב מיתה.

וברור לכל מאמיז בהשי"ת. שא"א כלל ועיקר להביז דברי האוה"ח כפשוטו. שהרי אנו מאמיו שהוא ית' בורא ומנהיג לכל הבראים. והוא לבדו עשה ועושה ויעשה לכל מעשים. וע״כ חלילה. לומר שבעל בחירה בכח לפעול איזה פעולה אשר אינו בגזירת. זשי"ת. וידוע דברי החינוך שביאור איסור נקמה, שיסוד האיסור לנקום היינו משום שכל רעה שבא לאדם ע"י חבירו הוא כולו מאת השי"ת, וע"כ חבירו אינו סיבת רעתו.

A SERIES IN HALACHA LIVING A "TORAH" DAY

Kashrus in the Kitchen (18). Separation of Meat and Dairy. Pareve Leftovers. Recently, I was asked the following question: I made a seudas sheva berachos and it was a fleishig meal. Each place setting had a small *pareve* loaf of bread set next to the plate. Many people did not wash [obviously the amount needed to say *sheva berachos* washed] and the loaves were left in their place. I don't see anything "meaty" on them. Are they still *pareve*? To answer this question [and a number of similar questions], we have to bring the sources for this *halacha*. **Leftover Bread.** The **Shulchan Aruch** (1) rules: One who ate cheese and later wants to eat meat, must remove all leftover bread from the table. R' Moshe z''l (2) explains this refers to leftovers of the very piece that he ate together with cheese, or if he took a small piece in his hand to specifically eat with cheese. In both of these cases, he might have touched the leftovers or small piece with the cheese. Even if he thinks that he didn't, we are concerned that it might have happened and he forgot or didn't notice it, since people are not careful to keep such small things clean and *pareve*. However, a big central piece of *challah*,

ביו הריחיים – תבליו מדף היומי – תענית דף יג:

מאת הגאון מו"ר הרב ברוך הירשפלד שליט"א,

שמונה עשרה Our *Gemara* says that if one accepts a personal fast (תענית) on himself, he must add "צלותא דתעניתא היכי מדכרינו" At first the Gemara savs he should insert it between the berachos of רוצה. However, the Gemara asks, is a יחיד so important that we would be מתקן to add *berachos* to his own תפילה? The *Gemara* concludes that a יחיד inserts וערני in the existing *beracha* of תפילה

The שו"ש paskens this way. It doesn't matter if it's a personal תענית that he was כוקבל on himself. or if he is part of a תענית. the . שמו"ע he need not repeat עננו also *paskens* that if one mistakenly omits שומע תפילה he need not repeat ישמו"ע.

Later. the משנ"ב hthat the תקס"ו, that the תקס"ו, that the משנ"ב during בור בור A sinserts it between the berachos of משנ"ב during במני אווא ליחוד בינור שליח צבור during משנ"ב מורע הש"ץ בינור אווא האווא האווא האווא ליחוד מורע אווא האווא הא name of the יבית יוסף. the reason we choose to insert it after גואל is because it first savs. בית יוסף שלים סוף יטין "ה' צורי וגואלי" and right after. it savs he points out that even in a circumstance. ביאור הלכה. In ביום צרה". So. יים צרה that's said on a ביאור הלכה. In ביום צרה". where a יחיד is saving שימיע תפילה together with the איז is saving אנאל by together with the איז שנמיע האיז is saving אומע תפילה. he should not say אואל with the גואל after גואל. but insert it in היחיד is saving אומע תפילה.

That although the א"ץ says that we are always מנחה (even by a מנחה) that although the מנוז says that we are always מנחה. שחרית yd עננו The reason is that a יחיד might not feel well & need to break his fast. If he said שחרית by שחרית by שחרית by שחרית doesn't complete the fast, he will seem like a שקרו Once one has fasted past חצית. even if he breaks his fast later, since he fasted past chatzos, it's considered a שקרו so he may say it by Mincha. The שררית however may say it by שררית because there will certainly be people in the צבור that will fast the whole day.

The תקסה ד"ה בין ביאור הלכה וו משנ"ב ni discusses an apparent היי אדם, he brings the תקסה ד"ה בין ביאור הלכה. וו משנ"ב ni משנ"ב ni משנ"ם. fasting with the איבור, he still says ענני, However, ענני the ח"ח says that one who is not fasting (ציבור does **not** say ענני y. Later ביאור הלכה. the משנ"ב savs that even if one ate on a תענית ציבור. This seems to contradict what he said in ותקסתס"ק ג'ו The שבט הלני answers that the case in ביאור הלכה is where one was not fasting at all for some reason. Then, he does not say .When the says you do say עננו hat is where the person was fasting but accidentally ate something but is still fasting for most of the day. In that situation he does say אעניי, because he is fasting.

R' Chaim Halberstam zt"l (Sanzer Ray) would say:

"ישאלהו האיש לאמר מה תבקש" - **Rashi** tells us that this man was the *Malach Gavriel*. Yet, in last week's *parsha*, the Malach was the 'שרו של עשו' - How could it be that the same word 'איש' is used for both angels and how are we to know when we want to highlight which one? The answer is simple: when the 'אמש' comes to engage in an act of chessed, then he obviously must be a מלאך של חסד. On the other hand, when he comes to fight, he is clearly the מלאך של חסד.

A **Wise Man** would say:

"When one door of happiness closes, another opens, but often we continue to look so long at the closed door that we do not see the one that has been opened for us. Keep your focus where it belongs."

Printed By: Quality Printing **Graphic Copy & Printing** (845)352-8533

Learn s'7 Torah AND s'7 detailed world with the goal to get close to HIM w/Reverence

4 103.846 855.400.5164

לעילוי נשמת ר' פינחס בן ר' אברהם חיים ליפשיטץ ז"ל (נפטר כ"א כסלו). ואשונו היסרה חוה בח ר' ברוד ליפשיטץ ע"ה (נפטרה כ"ב כסלו) * תהא נפשותיהם צרורות בצרור החיים

ראש כולל עטרת חיים ברוך, קליבלנד הייטס by nature more careful not to get them dirty with food. In such a case, if we are not aware of any contact with cheese, "lo machzekinen reiusa" - we don't create damaging circumstances. All the above is true by the opposite case of bread in a meat meal. Getting back to our case, since the loaves were were not taken from their place, people by nature keep them clean and we can confidently assume that they are still pareve. If there were young children in that area, this leniency might not apply (3).

Other Pareve Leftovers. What about leftover salads, plates of cucumbers, or margarine that people use to smear? Do they remain pareve? If they have a central fork or serving spoon, we can assume they are still pareve. However, if each person takes with his or her own cutlery [which is not hygienic], one has to be concerned that they are no longer *pareve*.

Waiting Six Hours. In the above-mentioned case where one cannot eat the leftover bread from the meat meal with dairy, if he later ate that bread, does he have to wait six hours before eating dairy? The answer is no. Chazal did not impose the six-hour wait on this possible smear of *fleishigs*. If one actually saw a meaty or bread, left on a cutting board, remain *pareve* since people are smear on the bread and ate it, he would then have to wait six hours

ויאמר הנה חלמתי חלום עוד והנה השמש והירח ואחד עשר כוכבים משתחוים לי ... (לו-מ)

One cold winter's day, an elderly stranger wearing an expensive-looking fur coat entered the court of the holy **Rhizhiner Rebbe, R' Yisroel Friedman** zt"l. When he asked to speak privately with the Rebbe for a few minutes, the Rebbe's shamashim escorted him in without asking any questions. "Please, Rebbe, I apologize for taking so much of your valuable time, but may I tell you an interesting story?" The *Rebbe* was intrigued and nodded his assent.

The man began. "I am a prosperous businessman, B"H. I gained most of my wealth through buying and selling large amounts of merchandise at the annual fair at Leipzig. However, when I became older, the physical ordeals of the long journey each way took a large toll on my health. As I had a capable assistant who worked for me for many years and had earned my complete faith in him, I decided to entrust him with the burden of conducting my annual affairs at Leipzig. Each year, I gave him a significant sum of money to invest, merchandise to sell, and a list of detailed instructions.

"For a number of years things went smoothly. Then, suddenly, this year my man did not return from Leipzig together with the other merchants of our town who had been there. They told me not to worry, for they had brought a message from him. It turned out that he had been so successful in his dealings that he finished all of our affairs there two days early, and he decided to utilize the time to visit some relatives in the region. He asked them to tell me that he would arrive in a few days.

"I wasn't worried. I trusted him implicitly. Even when a few days went by and then a week without a sign of him. I didn't waver, although I was aware that people in town were suggesting that he had absconded with the money. But when a week turned into two, and then into a month, I began to have my doubts which made me quite nervous. A few nights later, when my feelings had become even more acute, I had a dream. In it, my agent appeared to me and told me what had happened.

"When I went to visit my relatives, I became seriously ill. The doctor's treatment did not help at all, and I realized my time had come. I requested to speak to the rabbi of the town and when he came I entrusted into his possession, all of your money, gems, as well as documents of sale and purchase that I was carrying. I described you to him and also told him other signs by which he may recognize you. I hope that now you will consider that my mission had been faithfully completed.

"I was frantic as my dream began to fade. 'But ... tell me ... where are you?' I asked, 'Where are you telling me this from?'

"His face took on a look of pain as he answered with a degree of reluctance. "When I arrived at the Divine Court, I was deemed not pure enough for the bliss of Heaven. I was assigned to the other place - for a full year. A few weeks passed. Then, there was a surprising commotion. I asked what was going on and was told that it was Yud-Tes Kisley (19th day of the month of Kisley), which is the vahrzeit of the holy Mezeritcher Maggid, R' Dov Ber zt"l, successor to the Baal Shem Tov zt"l himself, and that the *Maggid's neshama* has the *zechus* to rescue any soul from *Gehinnom*, that is connected to him.

"I was among those souls designated to be released! I could not understand why and I addressed the Maggid's neshama saying what is my connection to you? I was only a child when you left the world. The Maggid responded, 'You were born through my blessing to your parents.' I was elated, but since my business with you was unfinished, even though I did all that I possibly could to get you back your money, I was held back from leaving. Since, you were in considerable anxiety as a result of not knowing what happened, I was given permission to appear to you and give you the information you need to recover your assets. Now I must hurry. I fear that I may miss my 'ride' to the better place!'

The man paused and looked at the *Rhizhiner Rebbe*. "Right before he departed, he told me that there is one *tzaddik* on this earth who has the same merit to be able to release souls connected to him from Gehinnom. 'I am told that such a traddik is R' Yisroel in Rhizhin, the great-grandson of the *Maggid*.' Then, he left and my dream faded entirely.'

The elderly Jew concluded that he had just come from collecting his money from the rabbi of the town where his man had died. "I am here because I desire to be connected to you. I hope you will accept me as your *chasid*!" (R'Y. Tilles, Ascent of Tzefat)

HaTzadik epitomizes this idea perfectly. It is interesting to note that by stating that the brothers sold "an innocent man for money," Amos inferred that although the sale itself was indeed horrific, it wasn't the sale itself that *Hashem* held them responsible for. Rather, *Hashem's* wrath became aroused due to the indignity shown to Yosef and the insensitivity towards his feelings by being sold for an inexpensive pair of shoes. Why did they do that?

R' Ovadiah Ben Yaakov zt''l, the Seforno explains that after closely following Yosef's actions and anticipating the outcome of what they believed was his inexcusable attitude

severity of injustice and how the infamous sale of Yosef themselves from his inevitable attack on them. Borne out of this fallacious thought process, they then deemed it extremely necessary to not only discredit Yosef but to also reduce his standing so that their authority would not be challenged. This degradation and belittlement of Yosef was inexcusable, and Hashem's wrath was kindled as a result of this.

> There is a common misconception that so long as a person does not physically harm another, the idea "no harm no fowl" applies. This is far from the truth since we must always be cognizant of all aspects of our actions and reactions, and always remember that just because one person may not think it is a big deal, it may indeed be a big deal for someone else.

ותםר בגדי אלמנותה מעליה ותכם בצעיף ותתעלף ותשב בפתח עינים אשר על דרך תמנתה ... (לח-יד) CONCEPTS IN AVODAS HALEV FROM THE FAMILY OF R' CHAIM YOSEF KOFMAN ZT"L

The unusual story of Yehuda and Tamar affords us many lessons that we would not otherwise have recognized. The *posuk* says that Tamar took off her widow's garb and covered her face with a veil, before sitting down at a crossroads. The *Rishonim* offer two meanings to the word "ותתעלף". Either that she covered her face, or that she beautified herself.

There is another definition of the word, found in *Tanach* and in *Shas*. "we're can also mean to faint (see Yonah and *Chulin Daf* 3b). It is possible to apply that connotation here as well. Tamar excelled in the *middah* of *tznius* to such an extent that the Gemara in Megillah (10b) states that Yehuda was unable to recognize her. The concept of znus was so antithetical to her and against her very essence. Therefore, although Ruach Hakodesh obligated her to act, acting in this manner still caused her to faint. (If anyone can find a source to support this *pshat*, please let us know.) There are certain *middos* that are embedded in the very nature of a Yid and acting against that nature should cause powerful feelings of discomfiture.

I recently saw an anecdote about a woman suffering from dementia. When asked her name, she drew a blank, But then she smiled, and said, "I don't know who I am but I know whose I am." Such are the sentiments of a Jew.

Perhaps, from this *yesod*, we can extrapolate another *machshava*. At times, we too, are asked to go out of our "comfort zone" and do things we would normally shy away from doing, L'maan Hashem v'Toraso. Some occasions are easier than others. But we must exert ourselves and make the effort. Tamar knew that what she had to do was the will of *Hashem*. She therefore acted in a way that was diametrically opposed to her lofty ideals. Ultimately, Tamar's actions facilitated the lineage of *Dovid Hamelech* and *Mashiach* being born. We can never underestimate the power of doing the right thing

משל למה הדבר דומה

ויכירה ויאמר כתנת בני חיה רעה אכלתהו מרף מרף יוסף ... (לו-לג) משה: Once, when R' Naftali Hurwitz zt"l, of Ropshitz visited Warsaw, he went to a local shul to daven. He noticed a young man learning there with great intensity, and initiated a discussion with him on the *sugya* he was studying. R' Naftali was impressed with the young man, Asher, and discreet inquiry revealed that he was the son of the local tailor.

Wishing to speak with Asher's father without revealing to the boy that he was considering him a potential son-in-law, R' Naftali asked Asher to bring him to his father's shop so that he could have repaired his torn bekeshe (frock).

Upon their arrival at the tailor shop, R' Naftali showed Asher's father his torn frock, then urged the young man to return to his studies. Once alone with the tailor, he broached the subject of *shidduchim* and suggested that his daughter would be a fitting match for his son Asher.

The tailor, who was unaware of the greatness of the Ropshitzer Rebbe who stood before him, dropped the robe in surprise. "What! I should take a mechutan with a torn bekeshe? My Asher is the most eligible bochur in Warsaw! What makes you think that you are worthy of my Asher?"

R' Naftali was determined not to relinquish so easily. "Please ask the *Rov* (the **Chemdas Shlomo** was the *Rov* of Warsaw) about me. He knows me well."

The tailor agreed and when he told the *Chemdas Shlomo* that it was R' Naftali who was eager to take his Asher for a son-in-law, the Rov assured him it was indeed a good match. Nowhere would the tailor find a better match than with the Ropshitzer, he said, even if his garment was torn!

נמשל: When the brothers of Yosef brought his torn coat to Yaakov, he cried bitter tears, not over the loss of the garment, but for the symbolism of the torn coat. It meant that his son was dead and the thought of such a tragedy was terrible

ויהי ה' את יוסף ויהי איש מצליח ויהי בבית אדניו המצרי ... (לט-ב) EDITORIAL AND INSIGHTS ON MIDDOS TOVOS FROM THE WELLSPRINGS OF R' GUTTMAN - RAMAT SHLOMO

The great miracle of Chanukah revolves around one tiny flask of oil, the only one tiny flask that was found in the Bais HaMikdash with the special seal of the Kohen Gadol. This was such a tremendous celebration that we continue to rejoice every single year at this time. What was a single flask of shemen zayis during regular times, when the Mikdash stood in all it's glory and pure olive oil was made in abundance? No one thought twice of such a tiny flask. It was taken for granted because we had plenty of it. Only when the Greeks defiled our *Mikdash*, and there was no pure oil to be found except this one tiny flask, do we make a holiday out of it. It is the same holy oil whether there is a little or a lot, but when there is a lot, it is under appreciated.

R' Avraham Pam zt''l says that when Yosef interpreted Pharaoh's dreams of a tremendous abundance of food for seven years, followed by a famine for another seven years, he could not remain silent. Although it is not so appropriate to give the King advice, after all, he was only asked to interpret the dreams, he still felt that it was worth the risk to tell the King what to do. Had Yosef remained silent, all the food during the seven years of plenty would have been squandered. Therefore, he gave his brilliant advice to appreciate the great abundance and put away some of the plenty for when it would be needed.

The tiny flask of oil that was so appreciated because of the tremendous lack of any oil at all teaches us a great lesson about appreciation. Chanukah is a time to thank Hashem and to praise Him as well. L'Hodos - to thank Hashem is a great level which often comes when we realize that we just received a gift. L'Hallel means to constantly sing our Creator's praises. If we could only heighten our recognition of all the shefa bracha that we experience in our lives daily, and if we would put away some of those feelings for when we are going through a more difficult period in our lives, we could internalize the lesson of *Chanukah* and spend our entire lives thanking and praising *Hashem*, and truly appreciating everything He gives us.